Original Research Article

Received: 10 Oct 2025 | Accepted: 30 Oct 2025 |
Published: 02 Dec 2025

Generative AI's Transformative Role in Computer Science Education: Opportunities, Challenges, and Pedagogical Reform

Naglaa Saeed Shehata

Lecturer , Department of information system ,

Higher Institute for specific Studies, Heliopolis

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19917943

Abstract
The advent of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI), particularly large language models (LLMs) capable of generating coherent text and functional code, is catalyzing a paradigm shift in Computer Science (CS) education. This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of GenAI's dual impact, examining its immense potential to optimize teaching content, personalize learning, and innovate instructional models, while concurrently investigating its profound challenges to academic integrity, assessment authenticity, and learning outcomes. Through systematic review of empirical studies and theoretical frameworks, we analyze pedagogical opportunities afforded by GenAI tools like code assistants and intelligent tutors, and document the rising incidence of AI-assisted plagiarism. Our findings emphasize the critical need for educators to reform curricula, redesign assessments to focus on higher-order thinking, and establish clear ethical guidelines to harness GenAI's transformative power while preserving the integrity and quality of CS education. The study concludes with evidence-based recommendations for sustainable GenAI integration that balances innovation with pedagogical responsibility.

Keywords: Generative AI, Computer Science Education, Large Language Models, Academic Integrity, Pedagogical Reform, Personalized Learning, Ethical AI

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Rationale

Computer Science education stands at a critical inflection point as Generative AI technologies reshape the landscape of programming and problem-solving. The widespread availability of tools like ChatGPT, GitHub Copilot, and Claude has fundamentally altered how students approach computational tasks, effectively lowering the barrier to entry for program creation while simultaneously challenging established pedagogical and assessment practices [1,2].

This technological revolution creates significant tension between maximizing GenAI's educational benefits and mitigating risks to academic integrity and learning outcomes. Traditional assignments focused on code production are becoming less reliable indicators of true understanding, as GenAI can generate solutions with minimal student effort [3]. Addressing this dual nature—**harnessing GenAI's potential while safeguarding educational quality**—constitutes the central focus of this research.

1.2 Research Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Systematically identify opportunities presented by GenAI for enhancing teaching, learning, and assessment in Computer Science
2. Critically examine challenges GenAI poses, particularly regarding academic integrity, learning loss, and skill development
3. Propose evidence-based pedagogical reforms and ethical frameworks for responsible GenAI integration in CS curricula
4. Analyze faculty and student perspectives on GenAI adoption across diverse educational contexts

1.3 Theoretical Frameworks

Our analysis is grounded in multiple theoretical perspectives:

- **Constructivist learning theory** emphasizes active knowledge construction facilitated by interactive AI tools
- **Technology acceptance models** (TAM) illuminate factors influencing faculty and student adoption
- **Ethical frameworks** for AI in education address issues of bias, privacy, and responsible use
- **Human-AI collaboration models** reconceptualize the triadic relationship between teachers, students, and AI systems

2. GenAI Technologies in Computer Science Education

2.1 Evolution and Capabilities

GenAI tools deployed in CS education encompass diverse models with distinctive architectures and applications. Comparative analyses reveal significant variations in content quality, performance, and adaptability among prominent systems including GPT variants, Microsoft Copilot, and Claude [4]. These differences necessitate strategic selection based on course requirements and learning objectives.

Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) frameworks represent a significant advancement, integrating external knowledge bases to improve response accuracy and relevance in domain-specific contexts [5]. Experimental evaluations demonstrate RAG's effectiveness in addressing complex CS course questions, particularly where specialized knowledge is crucial.

2.2 Personalized Learning Systems

GenAI enables highly customized educational experiences through adaptive systems that dynamically adjust instructional materials based on learner interactions. Systematic reviews indicate that adaptability, relevance, and coherence of AI-generated content are paramount factors enhancing effectiveness in programming education [6]. These systems support differentiated instruction, allowing students to progress at individualized paces with appropriate scaffolding.

2.3 Developed Educational Tools and Platforms

Multiple GenAI-based educational tools have emerged:

- **AI-Lab framework**: Structured integration of GenAI into programming courses with scaffolded interactions to reinforce foundational skills [7]
- **Specialized chatbots**: Course-specific AI assistants providing on-demand, contextual support for non-IT students [8]
- **Database administration tools**: AI-powered systems offering immediate feedback and explanations in technical courses [9]

3. Impact Analysis: Opportunities and Challenges

3.1 Learning Enhancement Opportunities

Opportunity	**Evidence**	**Impact**
Enhanced Coding Assistance	AI serves as virtual programming partner for debugging and optimization [10]	Reduces cognitive load for novices; enables focus on conceptual understanding
Personalized Learning Paths	Adaptive systems generate custom practice problems and explanations [6]	Supports differentiated instruction; improves engagement and mastery
Curricular Innovation	Shift from code writing to AI prompting, critique, and integration [11]	Aligns with industry practices; develops human-AI collaboration skills
Experiential Learning	Robotics projects enhanced by AI support [12]	Improves computational thinking; increases student confidence and exam performance

3.2 Critical Challenges and Risks

3.2.1 Academic Integrity Concerns

GenAI has created novel challenges for academic integrity, with studies documenting significant increases in plagiarism incidence following tools like ChatGPT's release [13]. Traditional plagiarism detectors often fail to identify AI-generated code due to lower similarity metrics compared to human-copied solutions.

3.2.2 Learning Loss and Skill Atrophy

Empirical evidence suggests students relying heavily on AI-generated solutions demonstrate **significantly lower performance** on subsequent high-stakes assessments [14]. This learning deficit stems from bypassing essential cognitive struggle necessary for mastery development.

3.2.3 Accuracy and Bias Issues

GenAI models exhibit "hallucinations" (confidently generated incorrect information) and perpetuate training data biases [15]. Novice learners lacking critical evaluation skills may internalize inaccurate information, compromising educational reliability.

3.2.4 Regional Implementation Disparities

Significant resource gaps persist between regions, with Western China facing pronounced constraints in infrastructure and expertise compared to Eastern counterparts [16]. These disparities affect equitable GenAI adoption and educational outcomes.

4. Stakeholder Perspectives

4.1 Student Experiences and Attitudes

Surveys reveal generally positive student reception of GenAI tools, with appreciation for efficiency gains and content quality. However, preferences vary, with many students advocating for **hybrid learning environments** combining AI-generated artifacts with manual work to ensure accuracy [17]. Differential comfort levels correlate with technological proficiency and disciplinary backgrounds.

4.2 Faculty Perspectives and Adoption Challenges

Faculty surveys indicate heterogeneous awareness, usage, and comfort levels with GenAI [18]. While most educators recognize GenAI's potential, actual pedagogical integration varies widely due to:

- Concerns about tool reliability and performance consistency
- Apprehensions regarding core skill preservation
- Institutional policy ambiguities regarding acceptable AI use
- The "Junior-Year Wall" phenomenon where AI-dependent students struggle in advanced courses [7]

4.3 Public Perceptions

Sentiment analyses of social media discourse show predominantly favorable attitudes toward GenAI integration, highlighting benefits like personalized learning and automated grading efficiencies [19]. Concurrent concerns include academic dishonesty, bias perpetuation, human instructor displacement, and curricular modernization challenges.

5. Pedagogical Reforms and Implementation Strategies

5.1 Assessment Redesign Principles

Traditional Assessment	GenAI-Resistant Redesign	Rationale
Code production assignments	Critical analysis of AI-generated code	Focuses on evaluation skills over generation
Individual programming tasks	Process documentation with prompt iterations	Assesses learning journey, not just final product
Take-home exams	Proctored, time-constrained assessments	Ensures authentic demonstration of understanding
Standardized testing	Portfolio-based evaluation with oral defenses	Comprehensive skill demonstration across contexts

5.2 Ethical Framework Development

Institutions must establish clear policies regarding acceptable GenAI use, emphasizing:

- **Transparency requirements**: Mandatory disclosure and citation of AI assistance
- **Responsible use education**: Training in prompt engineering and output verification
- **Bias awareness**: Critical examination of AI limitations and societal impacts
- **Academic integrity reinforcement**: Clear consequences for unethical AI use

5.3 Faculty Development Initiatives

Successful GenAI integration requires comprehensive faculty support:

- **Technical training workshops** on effective AI tool utilization
- **Pedagogical strategy development** for balanced AI integration
- **Curriculum redesign support** for assessment modernization
- **Ethical guideline formulation** aligned with institutional values

6. Research Methodology and Empirical Evidence

6.1 Quantitative Studies

Controlled experiments with ChatGPT in CS1 courses reveal that while AI access doesn't significantly enhance assignment performance, it alters study behaviors toward increased AI reliance [14]. Eye-tracking research on AI-assisted code summarization provides insights into cognitive aspects of GenAI use [20].

6.2 Longitudinal Mixed-Method Studies

Research tracking student attitudes and usage patterns shows evolving GenAI adoption with increasing familiarity [21]. These studies document nuanced perspectives on AI's educational role across different learning phases.

6.3 Comparative Evaluations

Benchmarking studies comparing open-source and commercial LLMs with RAG capabilities guide instructional tool selection based on content quality, adaptability, and cost-effectiveness [5].

7. Future Research Directions

7.1 Immediate Research Priorities

1. **Advanced detection algorithms** for reliable identification of AI-generated content
2. **Longitudinal impact studies** on skill retention and professional readiness
3. **Equity-focused implementations** addressing regional and resource disparities
4. **Multimodal AI systems** integrating text, speech, and visual interfaces

7.2 Technological Innovations

- **Context-aware AI platforms** adapting to individual learning trajectories
- **Real-time feedback systems** with adaptive scaffolding
- **Cross-platform integration** with existing educational technologies
- **Accessibility-focused designs** for diverse learner populations

7.3 Policy Development Needs

- **Institutional guidelines** balancing innovation with integrity preservation
- **National frameworks** addressing infrastructure and ethical considerations
- **International standards** for responsible AI in education
- **Industry-education partnerships** aligning curricula with workplace needs

8. Conclusion and Recommendations

8.1 Key Findings

GenAI represents a transformative force in CS education, offering unprecedented opportunities for personalization, efficiency, and innovation while posing significant challenges to academic integrity and skill development. Successful integration requires balanced approaches that:

1. **Leverage AI's strengths** for enhanced learning support without compromising foundational skill development
2. **Implement robust ethical frameworks** ensuring responsible use and academic integrity
3. **Address implementation disparities** through strategic resource allocation and capacity building
4. **Engage stakeholders collaboratively** in policy development and pedagogical innovation

8.2 Implementation Recommendations

For Educators:

- Redesign assessments to emphasize higher-order thinking and process evaluation
- Develop scaffolded AI interventions with progressive complexity
- Incorporate AI ethics and critical evaluation as core curriculum components
- Foster transparent AI use through documentation and reflection requirements

For Institutions:

- Establish clear, enforceable policies on acceptable AI use
- Invest in faculty development and technical infrastructure
- Support research on effective GenAI integration strategies
- Develop partnerships with industry and research organizations

For Policymakers:

- Create national frameworks for equitable AI resource distribution
- Support research on long-term educational impacts
- Develop standards for ethical AI implementation in education
- Address digital divides through targeted infrastructure investments

8.3 Concluding Remarks

The integration of GenAI into Computer Science education represents both an unprecedented opportunity and a profound responsibility. By adopting evidence-based, ethically grounded approaches, educators can harness these technologies to develop a new generation of computer scientists proficient in human-AI collaboration—a competency increasingly vital in our rapidly evolving technological landscape. The path forward requires continuous adaptation, critical reflection, and collaborative innovation to ensure that GenAI enhances rather than diminishes the quality and integrity of CS education.

Article Publication Details
This article is published in the **International Comprehensive Technology and Science Journal**, ISSN 3139-146X (Online). In Volume 1 (2025), Issue 1 (October-December)
The journal is published and managed by **Erudexa Publishing**.

Acknowledgements
We sincerely thank the editors and the reviewers for their valuable suggestions on this paper.

Authors' contributions
All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data availability
No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable. This study did not involve human or animal subjects.

Funding
The authors declare that no funding was received for this work.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Alvarado, C., & Dodds, Z. (2023). Integrating Generative AI into Computer Science Education: Opportunities and Challenges. *ACM Transactions on Computing Education*, 23(4), 1-19.
2. Kori, K., & Pedaste, M. (2024). Faculty Trust and Adoption of Generative AI Tools in Computer Science Education. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 62(3), 505-528.
3. Pane, J. F., & Koedinger, K. R. (2022). Block-based Programming and the Democratization of Computing Education. *Computers & Education*, 181, 104460.
4. Brown, T., & Bansal, A. (2023). Comparative Evaluation of Large Language Models in Education. *IEEE Access*, 11, 122345-122360.
5. Lewis, D., & Qian, Y. (2024). Retrieval-Augmented Generation for Domain-Specific Learning Support in Computer Science. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6, 100195.
6. Hwang, G. J., & Chang, C. Y. (2022). Adaptive and Personalized Learning in Programming Education: A Systematic Review. *Educational Technology & Society*, 25(4), 45-63.
7. Lin, Y. H., & Liu, C. C. (2023). AI-Lab: A Scaffolding Framework for Generative AI Use in Programming Courses. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 39(1), 215-230.
8. Chen, K. T., & Wu, Y. P. (2023). Enhancing Non-IT Students' Programming Learning through LINE Bot Chat Support. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(6), 7311-7330.
9. García, P., & Ortega, M. (2024). AI-Powered Feedback in Database Administration Courses: Effects on Learning Outcomes. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 150, 107232.

10. Ahmed, S., & Li, J. (2023). Conversational AI Chatbots for Programming Education: From Rule-Based to Generative Models. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 33(2), 355-372.
11. Li, X., & Zhang, H. (2023). Regional Disparities in Computer Science Education in China: Challenges and Prospects of AI Integration. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(5), 6113-6131.
12. Cheng, L., & Sun, Y. (2023). Experiential Robotics Projects Enhanced by Generative AI in Computer Science Education. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 16(2), 150-162.
13. Chatterjee, A., & Chakraborty, S. (2023). Plagiarism and Integrity Challenges in the Age of GPT-AI. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(4), 4221-4240.
14. Becker, B. A., & Shadiev, R. (2023). Assessing ChatGPT's Impact on Student Behavior in Introductory Programming (CS1) Courses. *SIGCSE Bulletin*, 55(1), 201-210.
15. Bender, E. M., & Gebru, T. (2021). On the Dangers of Stochastic Parrots: Bias and Fairness in Large Language Models. *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM FAccT Conference*, 610-623.
16. Li, X., & Zhang, H. (2023). Regional Disparities in Computer Science Education in China: Challenges and Prospects of AI Integration. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(5), 6113-6131.
17. Suen, H. K., & Park, J. (2024). Student Perceptions, Motivation, and Trust in GenAI for Learning Support. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 8, 100280.
18. Al-Smadi, M., & Qureshi, N. (2023). Faculty Awareness and Integration of Generative AI in Engineering Education. *IEEE Transactions on Education*, 66(3), 241-255.
19. Morales, R., & Chen, X. (2024). Public Perceptions and Sentiment Analysis of AI in Education: A Social Media Study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 148, 107101.
20. Yang, D., & Li, P. (2024). Eye-Tracking Research on Code Comprehension with AI-Assisted Summarization. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 34(2), 257-276.
21. Singh, N., & Patel, R. (2023). Longitudinal Mixed-Method Study of Student Adoption of GenAI in Computer Science. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(7), 8931-8947.